Nutrition in Pediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Position Paper on Behalf of the Porto Inflammatory Bowel Disease Group of the European Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition


ABSTRACT

Background and Aims: A growing body of evidence supports the need for detailed attention to nutrition and diet in children with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). We aimed to define the steps in instituting dietary or nutritional management in light of the current evidence and to offer a useful and practical guide to physicians and dieticians involved in the care of pediatric IBD patients.

Methods: A group of 20 experts in pediatric IBD participated in an iterative consensus process including 2 face-to-face meetings, following an open call to Nutrition Committee of the European Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition Porto, IBD Interest, and Nutrition Committee. A list of 41 predefined questions was addressed by working subgroups based on a systematic review of the literature.

Results: A total of 53 formal recommendations and 47 practice points were endorsed with a consensus rate of at least 80% on the following topics: nutritional assessment; macronutrients needs; trace elements, minerals, and vitamins; nutrition as a primary therapy of pediatric IBD; probiotics and prebiotics; specific dietary restrictions; and dietary compounds and the risk of IBD.

Conclusions: This position paper represents a useful guide to help the clinicians in the management of nutrition issues in children with IBD.
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What Is Known

- A growing body of evidence supports the need for detailed attention to nutrition and diet in children with inflammatory bowel disease.
- Despite the increasingly recognized importance of the issue, no specific pediatric dietary guidelines have been published to date.

What Is New

- We provide clear recommendations to better define the steps in instituting nutritional management in light of the current evidence.
- This position paper represents a useful practical guide to help physicians and dieticians involved in the care of pediatric inflammatory bowel disease patients.
diet accordingly. In particular, children with IBD often tend to avoid foods more than they would specifically need to. Thus, a growing body of evidence supports the need for detailed attention to nutrition and diet in children with IBD. Indeed, the relationship between nutrition and IBD encompasses several areas including nutritional support for parenteral nutrition (PN) and use of exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) as primary therapy for treatment of CD, and the role of specific nutrients as a risk factor for disease development (1). There is wide variation in the dietary behaviors of children and the strength of recommendations for them, although most physicians do not routinely endorse specific diets for patients with IBD (2). Dietary factors may have beneficial or deleterious effects. Simple advice regarding avoidance of some foods without balancing the diet with recommended alternatives may inadvertently reduce the overall amount of calories, macronutrients, and micronutrients provided, contributing to the malnutrition and specific nutritional deficiencies in pediatric IBD patients (3,4).

Recently, on the basis of the increasing knowledge regarding the efficacy of EEN for the induction of remission of pediatric CD, several attempts have been made to define new therapeutic avenues for the dietary treatment of pediatric IBD. Nevertheless, to date, in addition to the use of EEN, most “best-evidence” guidelines offer no recommendations for the use of specific diet in the therapy of IBD (5).

Thus, the purposes of this position paper are to define the steps in instituting dietary or nutritional management in light of the current evidence and to offer a useful and practical guide to physicians and dieticians involved in the care of pediatric IBD patients.

METHODS

A panel of experts was selected in April 2016 after an open call among the Pediatric IBD Porto Group, the IBD Interest Group, and the Nutrition Committee of the European Society of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN). The first face-to-face meeting resulted in organizing the position paper into 7 different sections, namely, nutritional assessment; macronutrients needs; trace elements, minerals and vitamins; nutrition as a primary therapy of pediatric IBD; probiotics and prebiotics; specific dietary restrictions; and, dietary compounds and the risk of IBD. Within these 7 sections a total of 10 topics were then assigned to 10 different working subgroups. Each working subgroup was asked to define the most relevant questions within their specific topic and to perform a literature search using Medline-PubMed and the Cochrane Library databases with appropriate search strategies (available upon request) using a last search date of May 1, 2016. Subgroups were also asked to grade the levels and the quality of evidence using the classification system of the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (http://www.cebm.net/mod_product/design/files/CEBM-Levels-of-Evidence-2.1.pdf). Questions were answered using the results of systematic literature searches and the resultant expert opinions. A total of 2 face-to-face meetings were held in Athens and Vienna to achieve consensus on formulate and agree on all of the recommendations. All group members interacted during these 2 face-to-face meetings, by iterative e-mails in the form of a modified Delphi process and by an e-platform. All recommendations were voted on and accepted when at least 80% agreement was achieved.

NUTRITIONAL ASSESSMENT

Dietary History

Is there a need for regular dietary assessment in children with IBD?

Statement:

- The assessment of dietary intake should be an integral part of the follow-up of pediatric IBD patients (Evidence Level [EL] 3).

Patients with IBD can tend to self-impose elimination diets to control the symptoms such as diarrhea and abdominal pain (6). The most commonly excluded foods are grains (29%), milk (28%), vegetables (18%), and fruits (11%) (6). Studies on dietary quality and nutritional intake of IBD patients have used different assessment tools and only 2 studies specifically address issues in children.
The diet of IBD patients differed significantly from that of healthy controls and/or recommended daily allowance (RDA). Most commonly, intake was significantly lower for energy, fibers, and carbohydrates and for several vitamins (mostly fat soluble and vitamin C) and minerals (Ca, P, Mg, Fe). In children, both studies showed significantly decreased intake of energy, Ca, and Fe (7,8). Poor dietary intake is consistent in both active disease and in remission additionally in both UC and CD (7).

Which method of dietary assessment is preferable and how often should it be performed?

**Statement:**
- A 3- to 5-day dietary record (DR) is recommended for the assessment of dietary intake (EL 4).

**Practice points:**
- No current method can give a complete snapshot of dietary intake over time.
- In the follow-up of patients with IBD, the ideal is to repeat the 3- to 5-day DR (in quality and quantity) twice a year in younger children, and once a year in adolescents, when found appropriate by the treating physician and/or dietician.

Methods used to assess dietary intake of IBD patients differ, and none suit all purposes (9). The food frequency questionnaire was standardized and validated, and performs well to investigate the relationship between a certain type of diet or dietary component and the risk for disease (10). The 3-to 5-day DR is better equipped for quantitative evaluation of energy and nutrient intake. Several studies have compared the performance of food frequency questionnaires and DR with differing results (11,12). Recently published guidelines on nutritional care for children with cystic fibrosis recommend to use the 3- to 5-day DR every 3 months in children and every 6 months in adult patients (13). Because nutritional risks are less severe in children with IBD, it seems reasonable to assess dietary intake at least twice per year in small children (<5 years) and once per year (every 12 months) in older children and adolescents or additionally whenever deemed necessary by the physician and/or dietician.

**Anthropometry, Body Composition, Puberty**

What is the importance and etiology of undernutrition?

**Statement:**
- Malnutrition and impaired linear growth can be markers of disease activity; their restoration should be considered as a treatment goal (EL 2).

Linear growth impairment can precede any other symptom by many years in up to 46% of pediatric patients with CD (14–16). In the 80s and the 90s the prevalence of growth retardation and malnutrition were around 24% to 46% (15,17–19). More recent studies such as population cohorts (20), and multicenter registries (21,22) report significantly decreased body mass index (BMI) at diagnosis in 17% to 32%, and poor growth in 8% to 10%. Furthermore, impaired linear growth persists in a significant proportion of patients with CD irrespective of the treatment modality, and results in decreased final adult height in 11% to 35% of patients with the mean difference reaching 7 cm less than genetic height potential (22–25). In UC patients, malnutrition and stunted growth affect a lower proportion of patients (5%–12%) at diagnosis and do not usually persist at follow-up (24).

In the pathogenesis of growth retardation, chronic undernutrition, inflammation (3,26–28), genetic background reflected in parents’ heights (24), and recently discovered gene polymorphisms (24,29–31) are all important contributors. Steroids may impair growth by a direct effect on the growth plate contrasted with the beneficial effect of resolving inflammation with short-term treatment or low doses. Of note, not all studies found a significant difference in growth and bone mineralization with respect to treatment with steroids (19,21,26,32–35). For children with UC, diarrhea with blood loss, abdominal pain, anorexia, and the effects of drug therapy are the main contributors to the pathogenesis of undernutrition.

Although it will be not thoroughly analyzed within this paper, it has to be underlined that a variable percentage of children with IBD ranging from 10% to 30% may present with obesity at diagnosis (36,37). This percentage seems not to differ from the general population and it is in line with the parallel obesity epidemic (36). It is still unclear whether these patients may have poorer disease outcomes, but it has been suggested that obese patients with IBD may have suboptimal response to therapies (38). Therefore, a weight loss should be envisaged as a possible adjunctive therapeutic intervention.

Is body composition impaired in children with IBD?

**Statement:**
- Lean body mass is decreased in children with IBD, particularly in CD. This deficit may persist after clinical remission (EL 2).

**Practice point:**
- The impact of an intervention on body composition should be included as a treatment outcome in clinical trials.

A recent systematic review examining body composition in IBD included 22 studies of which 6 were prospective, 11 cross-sectional and others examined specific interventions (eg, treatment, resection) (39). The general conclusions of the systematic review are that children with IBD have reduced lean body mass compared to control subjects. Most data originate from children with CD. After remission this deficit persisted. Studies comparing children with CD to children with UC found greater lean body mass deficits are present in children with CD. The findings on body fat were inconsistent, varying from decrease to augmentation and no change. There was no evidence found that alterations in body composition were related to gender or disease activity. One study, however, reported persistent deficit in lean body mass in girls, even in remission (40).
Is IBD-associated with delayed pubertal development?

**Statement:**
- IBD can be associated with delayed pubertal development (EL 2).

**Practice point:**
- Pubertal stage should be assessed regularly from diagnosis in children age 10 years and older, and at least annually during follow-up visits until puberty is completed.

It has long been known that IBD in children is associated with pubertal delay (41). Despite improved treatment strategies, pubertal development must be monitored closely because it still leads to severe complications such as decreased mineral bone density, short stature, and lack of self-esteem (42,43). Catch up growth with treatment is better in early puberty (44). When assessing body composition, the pubertal stage needs to be taken into account. Genders differ in lean body mass accrual: girls before and boys during puberty (39).

How and when to determine nutritional status and to measure linear growth?

**Statements:**
- Weight, height, and BMI z scores should be used for assessment of the nutritional status at each clinic visit (EL 2).
- Longitudinal linear growth reflects disease course; it should be assessed every 6 months by measuring height velocity z scores or variations in height-for-age standard deviation score (SDS) (EL 2).

**Practice points:**
- Anthropometric measures should be plotted into the appropriate growth charts
- Height velocity depends on the pubertal status. Therefore, both have to be determined concurrently.

Nutritional status is currently being expressed mainly as the BMI SDS (z score) (20), but because BMI can be normal in stunted children, the height and parental height should always be considered too (13,24). To assess growth, a height percentile or height-for-age SDS (z score) is appropriate for a single measurement. In children with IBD longitudinal linear growth is, however, more important as it reflects disease course and treatment success. In that respect, a change in the height SDS and a height velocity SDS for a period of 6 to 12 months expressed in SDS has been recommended as the best measure to monitor growth in patients with CD, and if unavailable, change of the height-for-age z score is appropriate (45).

**MACRONUTRIENTS AND ENERGY NEEDS IN INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE**

**Definition**
Macronutrients are proteins, carbohydrates, and fat.

Are there increased energy and macronutrient requirements in pediatric IBD?

**Statement:**
- There is insufficient evidence that pediatric IBD patients have increased energy and macronutrient requirements compared to healthy children (EL 4).

**Practice point:**
- In the case of insufficient intake dietary consulting is recommended. The general intake may be increased on an individual basis, by increasing food intake and food fortification. If those are not enough supplemental formula may be recommended or increasing caloric density of supplements. When needs cannot be met by oral feeds, adequate nutrition can be provided by nasogastric feeds.

Several studies have investigated energy requirements in children with inconsistent results. Evidence regarding Resting Energy Expenditure (REE), which is the energy requirement for an individual in resting condition that approximates basal metabolic requirements, is conflicting. An increase in REE in patients with active CD was demonstrated in several studies in adults (46–48) and in children (49,50). Other studies, however, suggested that this is due to changes in body composition rather than the hypermetabolism found in adults (51,52) and in children (53). Data from adult studies cannot be extrapolated to pediatrics because children have higher energy requirements relative to their body size during growth.

Several studies compared predicted REE to measured REE; 6 studies found no significant difference (49,53–57). One study reported that the predicting methods underestimated REE (58) and another study (59) reported that the predicted REE overestimated the measured REE.

When dealing with the question whether REE is affected by disease activity, several studies reported no difference in REE between patients with active or inactive disease (54,55,57,59), whereas 1 study reported an increase in REE during active disease (49). REE is influenced by body size and composition; therefore, measurements of REE will differ and results depend on the method by which this was adjusted to body size and composition.

Several studies measured changes in resting energy expenditure following therapy with anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNF) (infliximab) (55,60,61). No differences were found in REE before and after anti-TNF therapy.

In summary, pediatric patients with IBD seem to have similar requirements as healthy children. Nevertheless, subjects should be monitored carefully for adequate and sufficient energy intake by clinical dietitian. In order to measure REE in clinical practice, the predicted equations are sufficient and indirect calorimetry may be performed only in subjects who do not gain weight sufficiently despite adequate nutrition and disease control.
Is there increased protein requirement in pediatric IBD?

**Statement:**
- Protein requirement for children with IBD in remission is similar to the requirement for healthy population. During active disease protein, however, requirement may be increased (EL 4).

**Practice point:**
- During active disease with poor nutritional state, weight loss or growth retardation, it may be recommended to increase protein intake by at least 25% initially, or until linear growth has improved.

There are few studies that investigated this question in children with IBD; several of them tested the effect of therapy on protein metabolism. There is an increase in protein turnover in children with IBD as reported by Thomas et al (62); they demonstrated that this increase may be reduced after induction of remission with corticosteroid therapy or an elemental diet. In addition, whole body protein breakdown increased significantly after 2 weeks of corticosteroid therapy in children with newly diagnosed CD (63). Chronic inflammation or corticosteroid therapy, however, was not found to be associated with alteration in protein metabolism as reported by Motil et al (64).

Varille et al (49) presented a significant decrease in protein breakdown after surgical resection of gut lesions in children with mildly active CD. In addition, Steiner et al (63) reported a significant reduction in proteolysis and protein synthesis in the fasting and parenterally fed state following the initial infusion of Infliximab.

We would recommend increasing protein intake during flares above the recommendations for the healthy population for several reasons: children are growing, during flares children often reduce their food intake, and during inflammation there is protein loss. During remission the protein requirements for patients with IBD may, however, be as for the healthy population according to dietary reference intake (DRI)/RDA recommendations.

Is there increased carbohydrate and fat requirement in pediatric IBD?

There are no studies that demonstrate a difference in carbohydrates and fat requirements in pediatric patients with IBD compared to healthy people. A minimum consumption of carbohydrate should provide 45% to 60% of total energy consumption per day. In order to meet fat requirements, different percentages of total daily energy should be from fat based on different children’s ages (Table 1).

Is there a difference in fat type requirements in pediatric IBD?

Type of fat seems to influence IBD in animal models; diets rich in fat and sugar cause alterations in the microbiome, affect the integrity of the mucus layer and increase intestinal permeability (65). Animal fat increased intestinal permeability in animal models (66). There are, however, no human studies to investigate the influence of consumption of different types of food on IBD patients except for studies investigating supplementation with omega 3 for induction and maintenance of remission in IBD patients. The data in this field do not support routine use of omega 3 and therefore, the recommendations for IBD patients are the same as for the healthy population (67,68).

TRACE ELEMENTS, MINERALS, AND VITAMINS

Trace Elements (Zinc, Selenium)

What is the risk for deficiencies of trace elements in children with IBD and should these be monitored?

**Statement:**
- Due to insufficient data, we do not recommend routine measurement or supplementation of zinc and selenium in children with IBD (EL 2).

**Practice points:**
- Clinically significant deficiency of zinc is uncommon in children with IBD. It seems prudent to assess zinc status during prolonged diarrheal episodes (>4 weeks).
- When zinc deficiency is encountered, a short course (2–4 weeks) of oral zinc is usually sufficient to restore adequate serum levels.

Zinc

Small cohorts of children with IBD yielded up to 40% zinc deficiency, particularly in children with CD (69–71). Serum zinc levels were shown to be significantly lower in pediatric CD patients compared with healthy controls (72). Zinc consumption was reported to be inadequate in up to 15% of children with IBD (73). A daily oral supplement of 20 to 40 mg elemental zinc (for 2–3 weeks) was suggested for patients with significant diarrhea (>300 g of stool/day) (74).

Selenium

Only a few studies reported significantly low mean selenium levels, irrespective of disease activity and/or location, in both adult UC and CD patients, compared with controls (75–79). Two small pediatric cohort studies yielded contradictory results (72,80).
Minerals (Iron, Magnesium, Calcium)

What is the most effective and safe treatment option of pediatric IBD-associated iron deficiency anemia (IDA)?

What is the risk for deficiencies of other minerals in children with IBD and should these be monitored?

### Statements:

- Iron supplementation is recommended in all pediatric IBD patients when IDA is present, to normalize hemoglobin level and iron stores (EL 2).
- Oral iron supplementation is effective in IBD patients with mild (=<10 g/dL) anemia and negative inflammatory markers (EL 4).
- Intravenous (IV) iron supplementation is the preferred method of supplementation for pediatric patients with clinically active IBD (EL 4).
- Children with IBD should be monitored for recurrence of iron deficiency (ID) as this may indicate persistent intestinal disease activity (EL 2).
- Due to insufficient data, we do not recommend routine measurement or supplementation of magnesium in children with IBD (EL 2).
- Measuring magnesium levels should be considered in children with prolonged diarrhea (<4 weeks) and those at risk of refeeding syndrome (EL 4).
- Calcium intake should be monitored in children and adolescents with IBD and low calcium intake should be supplemented (EL 2).

### Practice points:

- Oral iron replacement is associated with high levels of intolerance and consequent lack of adherence.
- IV ferric carboxymaltose supplementation is better tolerated and superior to oral treatment, particularly in active IBD with low hemoglobin <10 g/dL.
- When magnesium deficiency is encountered, a short course (2–4 weeks) of oral magnesium is usually sufficient to restore adequate serum levels.
- Due to insufficient evidence, we do not recommend a specific dose for calcium supplementation, but suggest following the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) recommendations for the general pediatric population (ie, 450 mg calcium from age 1–3 years, 800 mg from age 4–8 years, 1150 mg from age 9–18 years).
- Due to insufficient evidence the screening of bone mass density trough dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) should not differ from the general population and it should be only recommended in those children at higher risk of osteoporosis.

### Iron

ID, IDA, and anemia of chronic disease (ACD), recently defined by ECCO guidelines are common in pediatric IBD (81–83). Expectant management of IBD-associated anemia is associated with persistence of the anemia, well beyond induction of remission, in up to 50% of patients (84,85). The impact of ACD/IDA, which may co-exist, and even ID on the quality of life (QoL) of IBD patients, is considerable (83,86,87). Inflammatory cytokines affect the hepcidin-ferroportin axis, which leads to reduced duodenal iron absorption, while also reducing erythropoietin production contribute to the anemia in IBD patients (88–92). Due to the existence of specific ECCO guidelines, this paper will not discuss in details all markers of ID (83).

Oral iron can be delivered in various formulations (93). Oral iron replacement is often associated with intolerance (nausea, abdominal pain, and constipation) and consequent lack of adherence (85,94,95). Oral iron therapy may enhance intestinal inflammation and has been shown to alter the intestinal microbiome (96–98). Recently, Rampton et al (99), have, however, shown that oral iron supplementation did not increase disease activity during a 6-week open study. The first generation of IV iron products (high molecular weight iron dextran) was associated with serious anaphylactic reactions and should no longer be used (93,100,101). The second generation of IV iron products (such as iron sucrose and ferric carboxymaltose) appears to have better safety profiles (93–95,102–106). In 2 retrospective reports of pediatric IBD patients receiving either iron sucrose infusions (n = 75) or ferric carboxymaltose (n = 72), there were only minor adverse reactions (20% and 4%, respectively) with no serious adverse events (102,107). Hemoglobin level significantly improved following 4 infusions of iron sucrose. Although there are no studies in pediatric IBD directly comparing oral versus IV iron supplementation, adult IBD studies have shown the IV route to be better tolerated and superior, particularly in active IBD with low hemoglobin <10 g/dL (95,108).

### Magnesium

Few studies demonstrated substantial rates of magnesium deficiency in adult IBD patients (109,110) ranging from 13% to 88%, whereas a single pediatric study reported a low magnesium intake relative to the RDA (EFSA RDA: 80 mg/day for age 7–11 months, 170 mg/day for age 1–3 years, 230 mg/day for age 3–10 years, 300 mg/day for boys and 250 mg/day for girls for age 10–18 years) (7).

### Calcium

Many patients with IBD have a low dairy calcium intake (73,111). In adults, the effect of calcium (and vitamin D) supplementation on bone mineral density (BMD) is controversial (112). In children with IBD, an open-label, prospective study about the effect of calcium and vitamin D supplementation on BMD suggested that supplementation of calcium and vitamin D does not improve BMD (113). Nevertheless, it is well-known that patients with IBD have an increased risk of reduced BMD (114–117). It has been reported that children with IBD have low BMD, with almost 50% having BMD z scores <-1 SD and around 25% having BMD z scores <-2 SD, regardless of sex (114–116,118). Numerous factors have been implicated in suboptimal bone mineralization, including chronic systemic inflammation, malnutrition, corticosteroid treatment, delayed puberty, growth retardation, low BMI, and a sedentary lifestyle (119,120). The diagnosis of osteoporosis in adults is based on assessment of BMD by DXA. In young adults, osteoporosis is defined as a BMD value at least 2.5 standard deviations lower than the mean BMD for young healthy adults (t score <-2.5) (121). In children, the relationship between BMD and fracture risk is not well established and reference to the z score has been recommended. A z score <-2 should be reported as “below
expected risk, such as long-term use of corticosteroids. Do children with IBD have a risk for vitamin D deficiency? What is the best option to treat vitamin D deficiency? Can vitamin D and/or calcium supplementation improve BMD? What is the risk for deficiencies of fat-soluble vitamins in children with IBD and should these be monitored?

**Fat-soluble Vitamins**

Do children with IBD have a risk for vitamin D deficiency? What is the best option to treat vitamin D deficiency? Can vitamin D and/or calcium supplementation improve BMD? What is the risk for deficiencies of fat-soluble vitamins in children with IBD and should these be monitored?

**Statements:**
- We recommend monitoring vitamin D levels in all children with IBD (EL 2).
- Vitamin D supplementation is recommended in children with IBD when vitamin D deficiency is present (ie, 25(OH) D concentrations below 50 nmol/L or 20 ng/mL) (EL 3).
- Due to insufficient data, we do not recommend routine measurement or supplementation of vitamins A, E, and K in the absence of chronic liver disease (EL 2).

**Practice points:**
- A serum 25(OH) D concentration above 50 nmol/L or 20 ng/mL indicates sufficiency, whereas serum concentration below 25 nmol/L or 10 ng/mL suggests severe vitamin D deficiency.
- A standard weight-based dose for replenishment of vitamin D in children with IBD has not been yet established. Evidence implies that high doses (ie, >2000 IU daily or 50,000 IU weekly) and long-term treatment may, however, be necessary to maintain sufficiency.

**Vitamin D**

The main function of vitamin D is the regulation of calcium and phosphate. It is essential for bone health but also has an important role in immune regulation. In infants and children, a serum 25(OH) vitamin D concentration >50 nmol/L or 20 ng/mL indicates sufficiency (123,124). There is, however, insufficient evidence demonstrating that vitamin D supplementation provide measurable health benefits other than providing adequate blood levels (125).

Several studies in adult IBD patients including a meta-analysis reported that patients with IBD had lower serum 25(OH) D concentrations compared with healthy controls with an inverse correlation to disease severity (126–129). A retrospective case-controlled study observed no difference in 25(OH) D levels between children with IBD and healthy controls (130). In contrast, 2 pediatric studies reported that serum level of 25(OH)D were significantly lower in children with IBD compared to a controls with no correlation to either BMD (131) or to disease activity (132). Predisposing factors included dark skin, winter season, and more severe disease. Pediatric studies observed a 19% to 35% rate of vitamin deficiency in children with IBD, associated with greater corticosteroid exposure (133). The question remains as to whether vitamin D deficiency is truly a cause or effect of IBD activity in humans.

Two pediatric IBD randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have not found significant differences in the effect of different oral doses of vitamin D ranging from 400 IU to 2000 IU daily (134,135) whereas 1 RCT did show benefit for higher range doses (either 2000 IU daily or 50,000 IU weekly)(136). A recent trial demonstrated a significant though short-term effect of either 10,000 IU or 5000 IU per 10 kg body weight per week for 6 weeks (137). Regular supplementation of 2000 IU daily or 50,000 IU weekly was, however, successful in replacing deficiency in the long term (71). A single age-based high-dose oral cholecalciferol (Stoss) sustained sufficient vitamin D levels for at least 6 months (138).

Supplementation with daily calcium and vitamin D was associated with BMD increment in adult IBD patients (139). An open-label, prospective study in children with IBD, however, suggested that supplementation of calcium and vitamin D does not affect BMD (114).

**Vitamin E**

Of the few adult studies assessing vitamin E status in IBD patients (142,144,145) only 1 found a significantly lower serum level in CD patients. Two small pediatric cohorts did not demonstrate significant difference in vitamin E levels between IBD patients and controls (70,112). A single pediatric study demonstrated overall deficiency of 6%, which increased to 40% of those with moderately to severely disease (143).

**Vitamin K**

Vitamin K deficiency has been scarcely reported in adult patients with IBD (more commonly in CD) with a weak correlation to active disease (146–148). In adult CD patients, low levels of free and bone-vitamin K were found to be associated with low BMD (147). In a recent pediatric study, the prevalence of vitamin K deficiency was 54.0% in CD and 43.7% in UC and correlated with disease activity (143).

**Water-soluble Vitamins**

What is the risk for deficiencies of water-soluble vitamins in children with IBD and should these be monitored? What is the recommended supplementation of folic acid in children with IBD receiving methotrexate (MTX)? When should vitamin B12 level be monitored in children with IBD and how vitamin B12 should be supplemented in the case of deficiency?
We recommend monitoring folic acid annually with reduced intake (165,166). Ours studies demonstrated that vitamin B12 deficiency was present in the absence of thiopurine use. We do not recommend routine measurement or clinical deficiency of vitamin B12 should receive periodic injections according to methylmalonic acid levels and then weekly until clinically improved, followed by periodic injections according to methylmalonic acid levels.

**Folate (B9)**

Folate levels can be measured in serum or more accurately in RBC and also by measuring serum homocysteine levels. Several adult studies showed that folate deficiency ranges from 20% to 30% in CD patients and 4% to 10% in UC patients, particularly in patients with active ileitis or history of small bowel resection (155–157). A study using RBC folate levels, however, yielded much lower rates of deficiency (0%–7%) (73). Studies in children are more controversial with results ranging from low (158) through normal (70,71) and even high serum folate levels (159,160).

Both sulfasalazine and MTX can cause folate deficiency, as both are inhibitors of dihydrofolate reductase and cellular uptake of folate (125). A recent systematic review showed that folic acid supplementation in MTX-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis reduces the incidence of abnormal liver function tests, gastrointestinal (GI) adverse effects, and rates of withdrawal from treatment (161). The optimal dosage of supplementation has not been established though either a daily dose of 1 mg or a weekly dose of 5 mg seem to be sufficient (162). ECCO guidelines recommend measuring folate level at least annually, or if macrocytosis is present in the absence of thiopurine use (83). Folate supplementation of 1 mg/day is usually sufficient to replenish deficient folate stores within 2 to 3 weeks (163).

**Vitamin B3**

A single study found that 77% of adult CD patients have low plasma vitamin B3 during remission (112).

**Vitamin B6**

Two studies reported 10% to 13% rates of vitamin B6 deficiency in adult IBD patients (145,154). Low intake of B6 was reported in children with IBD (155).

**Vitamin B7**

Two studies yielded contradictory results regarding of biotin status in IBD patients (145,150).

**Statements:**

- We recommend monitoring folic acid annually (EL 2).
- We do not recommend routine measurement or supplementation of vitamin B1, B2, B3, B6, B7 and vitamin C in children with IBD (EL 2).
- We recommend folic acid supplementation (either 1 mg daily or 5 mg weekly) in children with IBD receiving MTX therapy (EL 2).
- We recommend that either serum cobalamin levels or methylmalonic acid level in blood or urine should be measured in children with active ileal CD, children with ileal resection of >20 cm and UC children ileal pouch surgery at least annually (EL 4).
- We recommend that vitamin B12 supplementation is given intramuscularly for pediatric IBD patients with evidence of vitamin B12 deficiency (EL 2).

**Practice points:**

- Clinically significant deficiency of folate is uncommon in children with IBD. Nevertheless, considered the increased risk when compared to the healthy children, we suggest to measure either serum folate level or folate level in red blood cells (RBCs) at least annually, or if macrocytosis is present in the absence of thiopurine use.
- Folate supplementation of 1 mg/day is usually sufficient to replenish deficient folate stores within 2 to 3 weeks; however, the folic acid requirement of children with CD has not been determined.
- CD patients with terminal ileal resection of >20 cm have the greatest risk for vitamin B12 deficiency. Patients with distal ileal resection of >60 cm will require lifelong B12 supplementation.
- Patients with extensive distal ileal resection or with clinical deficiency of vitamin B12 should receive 1000 μg of injected B12 every other day for 1 week and then weekly until clinically improved, followed by periodic injections according to methylmalonic acid levels.
ECCO guidelines recommend assessing cobalamin level at least annually or when macrocytosis is present, in the absence of thiopurine use (83). Vitamin B12 status should be assessed in patients with active ileal CD or history of ileal resection (>20 cm), although the recommended intervals for screening have not been established (165). Patients with clinical deficiency should be treated with scheduled intra-muscular injections (171).

NUTRITION AS A PRIMARY THERAPY IN INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

Parenteral Nutrition

How efficient is parenteral nutrition (PN) induction of remission in children with IBD?

**Statement:**
- PN should not be used as means to induce remission in pediatric CD (EL 4).

**Practice points:**
- PN should only be used when oral nutrition support or enteral support is insufficient to meet the patients’ requirements or when enteral feeding is contraindicated.
- In selected cases, when enteral nutrition (EN) cannot be used, PN may have a role as a support therapy within the preoperative period in children undergoing elective surgery.
- PN is more expensive and raises safety concerns in comparison to EEN.

**Indications for PN in pediatric patients with IBD**

Chronic intestinal failure necessitating the need for home PN is uncommon in CD and is observed mainly in adults due to resections of a significant portion of the small intestine (172). In the largest cohort of home PN published recently, of 251 pediatric patients on home PN, 9 had CD and 4 had UC (173). If intestinal failure occurs and when EN is contraindicated (hemodynamic instability, intestinal ischemia, intestinal obstruction, ileus, severe intestinal hemorrhage, and high-output fistulae), the individual nutrition goals should, however, be met by the provision of PN. Preferably, this should be limited to a short period (few weeks); however, in cases of intestinal failure this may need to be done indefinitely.

**Should PN be used to induce remission in children with IBD?**

In 1 study, EN was as good as PN for induction of remission and the probability to remain in remission after 1 year (174). In another study, elemental diet and PN were equally as effective in remission induction (175). Overall, PN only has a role in children with or at risk of malnutrition and in children in need of nutrition support where the use of EN is contraindicated or is insufficient to maintain nutritional status and growth. Although it was never adequately studied in children, clinical wisdom as well as costs and safety concerns, however, should limit the use of PN to its classical roles as stated above.

**EXCLUSIVE ENTERAL NUTRITION**

What is the efficacy of EEN for the induction of remission of pediatric CD?

**Statements:**
- EEN has the same efficacy as oral steroids in the induction of remission of children with active luminal CD (EL 1).
- EEN may be re-used during the course of disease in case of relapse (EL 2).

Although no placebo-controlled RCT of EEN have been conducted, several RCTs summarized in 3 different meta-analyses, established the efficacy of EEN for the induction of remission in children with CD (176–178). As stated in the most recent guidelines on the therapeutic management of pediatric CD (45), the overall combined remission rate for EEN is 73% (relative risk 0.95, 95% confidence interval 0.67–1.34 (177) and relative risk 0.97, 95% confidence interval 0.7–1.4 (178). After the publication of the last meta-analysis, 1 RCT and some other prospective studies were published replicating the previous results (179–182). The overall conclusion is that EEN has the same efficacy in the induction of remission as corticosteroids. Recently, the efficacy of EEN has been confirmed in comparison to biological therapy. Lee et al (183) enrolled 90 consecutive children with an active CD in a prospective study. Patients received EEN, infliximab or partial enteral nutrition (PEN) as therapy for induction of remission. Clinical response was achieved in 88% of children treated with EEN, 84% of those receiving biological therapy and 64% in children undergoing PEN (183).

In conclusion, considering all the benefits of EEN and taking into account the adverse effects of steroids, EEN should be considered as the first-line therapy to induce remission in pediatric luminal CD (45).

Few retrospective studies evaluated the efficacy of a second course of EEN as induction therapy during the course of the disease. Remission rates ranged from 58.3% to 80% (184–187). In the most recent retrospective study including 52 children, Frivolt et al (187) reported a 92% of remission rate after the first cycle of EEN and 77% after a second cycle of EEN, although a second cycle was used in only 26 children. These data confirm that if the compliance of the patients is maintained, EEN may be successfully reused during the subsequent course of the disease for future relapses.

**Type of Formula and Delivery Mode**

**Statement:**
- The use of standard polymeric formula, with a moderate fat content, is recommended unless other conditions are present (eg, cow’s milk protein allergy) (EL 1).

**Practice points:**
- There is no evidence that the dietary source of proteins affects the efficacy of EEN.
- Initially the formula should be administered orally. A nasogastric tube may be used when there is failure to achieve adequate oral intake.
The source of proteins seems not to affect the efficacy of EEN. Indeed, numerous studies have compared the efficacy of different types (elemental, semi-elemental, oligomeric, or polymeric diets) of enteral formulas in the management of active CD with no significant results (188–190). This was further confirmed by a Cochrane meta-analysis of 10 trials showing no statistically significant difference between patients treated with elemental and non-elemental diet (191). Therefore, unless cow’s milk protein allergy co-exists, polymeric formula should be preferred considering the better palatability and the lower costs (192). Given the pro-inflammatory properties of some lipids, fats’ composition of formulas has been postulated as one of the possible mechanism to explain EEN efficacy. Although, no studies have been published in pediatrics, 2 adults’ trials demonstrated no differences when increasing medium-chain triglycerides (193) or monounsaturated fatty acids content in the formulas (194). Similarly, a subanalysis of a Cochrane demonstrated no differences in induction of remission of CD between low-fat and high-fat formulas (48). Finally, the addition of metabolites with anti-inflammatory properties such as glutamine (68) or omega-3 (116,117) has not given positive results and should not be recommended.

To date, few formulas with the adequate caloric intake are available and successfully used for delivering EEN in children. No comparison study in terms of effectiveness has, however, been performed so far.

No differences in term of efficacy have been observed between oral EEN and continuous administration through a nasogastric tube (181). Considering that the use of a nasogastric tube may decrease the improvement of QoL achieved with EEN (195), oral feeds should be preferred. A nasogastric tube should be positioned if adequate caloric intake could not be achieved orally (45).

**Duration and Reintroduction of Foods**

**Statement:**
- EEN is recommended for a period of at least 8 weeks (EL 1).

**Practice point:**
- There is still insufficient evidence to recommend a standard food reintroduction scheme. In the absence of evidence we suggest a gradual reintroduction of the foods, with a concomitant reduction of the formula over a 2- to 3-week period.

There is no evidence regarding the precise duration of EEN. Duration of EEN in clinical studies varied from 2 to 12 weeks, with majority using 6 to 8 weeks (196). Symptoms usually improve after a few days on EEN and mucosal healing was demonstrated after 8 weeks (197). In accordance with the last CD guidelines we suggest that EEN induction therapy should last at least 6 weeks (45).

There is no evidence to guide reintroduction of normal food after the EEN. Recently, Faiman et al (198) conducted a retrospective study comparing a standard food reintroduction over 5 weeks versus a rapid reintroduction over 3 days. No significant differences were observed in terms of relapse rate and maintenance of remission over 1 year. The authors concluded that due the better tolerability, a rapid reintroduction should be preferred (198). This study, however, suffers several limiting factors; it was retrospective and had high drop-out (20 of 31 children with slow introduction and 19 of 33 were included in the analysis). In the absence of more solid evidences, a gradual food reintroduction with concommitant decrease of formula volume over a 2- to 3-week period, as suggested in CD guidelines (45), should still be the preferred approach.

**Location and Behavior of Disease**

**Statement:**
- EEN should be recommended in all cases of active luminal disease irrespective of the GI tract location (EL 2).

**Practice point:**
- There is insufficient evidence to recommend EEN for isolated oral or perianal disease and for extra-intestinal manifestations.

Opposing data exist regarding the efficacy of EEN and CD disease location. Previous studies (197,199) suggested a better efficacy of EEN in patients with ileal involvement compared to isolated colonic disease. More recent data, however, demonstrated no significant differences between isolated colonic disease and small bowel CD (181,184). Particularly, in the retrospective study from Rubio et al (181), which included 106 CD patients, no significant difference was observed regarding location of disease. Conversely, another retrospective analysis including 114 children reported that individuals with isolated terminal ileal disease (n = 4) had lower remission rates than other locations (P = 0.02) (180). In the absence of better scientific evidence, these data support the conclusions of the Cochrane meta-analysis, which suggested the use of EEN in all pediatric CD patients with luminal disease, irrespective of the disease location (176).

To date there are no data to support the use of EEN in patients with isolated extraintestinal manifestations or oral disease. Efficacy of EEN for active perianal disease was reported only in a small case series (200).

**Adverse Effects**

**Practice points:**
- Clinicians should be aware of the risk of refeeding syndrome in severely malnourished children.
- Other possible adverse effects include nausea/vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal discomfort, bloating.

EEN is associated with minimal and temporary adverse effects. Most common reported are nausea, diarrhea, constipation, abdominal pain, and bloating (201). Borrelli et al (202) reported that 4 of 17 patients (23.5%) had mild GI adverse effects. The only severe reported adverse event was refeeding syndrome. Refeeding syndrome is defined as a potentially fatal metabolic complication causing shifts in fluids and electrolytes (especially hypophosphatemia) that may occur in severely
malnourished patients after the start of refeeding (203). Refeeding syndrome has been described in 3 case reports in malnourished CD children (204,205). Therefore, the awareness of a clinician for this life-threatening complication is essential, because the risk of refeeding syndrome can be dramatically decreased by a slow increase of EEN (volume and concentration) over several days, starting with reduced caloric intake (last known intake or 50%–75% of the REE) and advancing only when there are no significant electrolyte abnormalities.

What is the efficacy of EEN for the maintenance of remission of pediatric CD?

**Statement:**
- There is no evidence for using EEN as a maintenance therapy (EL 4).

**Practice points:**
- Due to the highly demanding adherence, EEN should not be considered as an option for long-term maintenance therapy.

No study has evaluated the role of EEN for the maintenance of remission in children with CD. Due to the low compliance of patients after the induction cycle, we do not recommend EEN for the maintenance of remission in children with CD.

What is the efficacy of EEN for the induction and maintenance of remission of pediatric UC?

**Statement:**
- EEN is not efficacious in the induction and maintenance of remission of pediatric UC (EL 4).

What is the efficacy of EEN on mucosal healing of pediatric CD?

**Statements:**
- EEN promotes mucosal healing (EL 2).
- EEN also promotes transmural healing in a proportion of patients.

Effect of EEN on mucosal healing was investigated by 7 studies (181,195,197,202,206–208) where endoscopy was performed 8 or 10 weeks after initiation of EEN. All studies found improvement in mucosal inflammation and complete mucosal healing was found in 19% to 87% of patients. Two studies, 1 open-label RCT (202) and other retrospective study (208) compared mucosal healing between children treated with corticosteroids and with EEN. Both studies found significantly higher rate of mucosal improvement in EEN group (42% vs 87% and 0 vs 19%). Furthermore, there is evidence that early endoscopic response is associated with reduced relapses, hospitalization and need for anti-TNF treatment at 1 year of follow-up (207). In 3 of 14 (21%) children EEN was even able to induce complete transmural remission of ileal CD (207).

What are the long-term outcomes of EEN?

**Statement:**
- EEN improves nutritional status (EL 2) and QoL (EL 3).
- There is insufficient evidence at present to show that EEN improves long-term bone health (EL 4).

a) Remission duration
Remission duration after EEN is not well determined. Overall 11 studies (179,185–187,192,197,198,208–211) reported relapse rate in the longer period (10 months to 7 years). Relapse rate ranged from 42% to 67% in the first year (187,192,198,209,211) and 58% to 68% at 24 months (179,186,211). Median time to first relapse ranged from 6.5 to 12.7 months (185–187). Duration of remission after induction with EEN versus corticosteroids was assessed by 3 studies and results were contradictory. Thomas et al (210) found shorter remission duration after EEN, but 2 more recent studies found longer duration of remission if EEN was used as a first-line induction therapy (208,209). Furthermore, although it did not report difference in remission duration after EEN or corticosteroids, 1 study reported protection against relapses in EEN group during the 24 months period (211). These results, however, should be interpreted with caution due to their retrospective nature and high possibility of other confounding factors.

b) Growth and bone health
All studies investigating weight change during EEN treatment reported positive effect of EEN on weight. Three studies showed an increase in lean body mass (53,212,213). Results on height increase are conflicting. Some studies reported height velocity increased immediately after the EEN treatment (202,207,208,214). Furthermore, there is no agreement on long-term results; retrospective studies with no comparative cohort found no significant long-term improvement in height z score (185–187); studies which compared EEN and corticosteroids found conflicting results: 2 studies (210,215) found better growth rate if EEN was used as a primary induction therapy and one showed no difference (216). The influence of EEN on bone health has been investigated by 3 studies (213,216,217). Werkstetter et al (213) prospectively evaluated data on bone quality using peripheral quantitative computed tomography and found improved bone metabolism within 3 months of starting EEN with no further normalization afterwards (1 year of follow-up). EEN therapy also normalized markers of bone turnover 8 weeks after EEN introduction (217). After a follow up of 1 year EEN group had a non-significant improvement in BMD assessed by DXA (216).

c) Quality of life
Two studies evaluated QoL after the treatment with EEN both showing improvement in QoL scores after EEN (183,195). Additionally, patients who received EEN comparing to partial EN and anti-TNF therapy had similar improvement in QoL scores (determined by IMPACT score) (183).
### PARTIAL ENTERAL NUTRITION

**Definition**

PEN is defined as providing subjects with a nutritionally balanced liquid formula while continuing to eat an unrestricted or exclusion diet.

**What is the efficacy of PEN for the induction of remission of pediatric CD?**

**Statement:**

- PEN alone should not be used for induction of remission (EL 2).

**Practice points:**

- PEN alone is not efficacious to induce remission in the majority of patients.
- Supplementation with a standard polymeric formula, in addition to conventional induction treatment may be considered.

Johnson et al (218) showed in an RCT the superiority of EEN over PEN in clinical remission rates defined using the Pediatric Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (PCDAI) as the primary outcome measure at 6 weeks (10/24 [42%] vs 4/26 [15%], respectively, \( P = 0.03 \)). In this study, PEN provided an average of 47% of total energy requirements (range 39%–58%). Additionally, a recent prospective study of children initiating PEN, EEN, or anti-TNF therapy for active CD, confirmed that each therapy improved symptoms, but EEN and anti-TNF therapies were significantly superior to PEN providing between 80% and 90% of estimated calories needed for inducing mucosal healing (183). In a retrospective cohort of 28 children with CD, supplementation with polymeric formula, additionally in conventional treatment, was associated with a decrease in PCDAI, whereas children who were not on supplementation did not (219). Gupta et al (220) administered formula overnight to deliver 80% to 90% of overall needs with the remaining 10% to 20% of their caloric needs came from an unrestricted diet of small meals or snacks during the day. This was effective for the induction of remission in pediatric patients with CD. More recently, Sigall-Boneh et al (221) proposed a dietary intervention in mild-to-moderate CD, based on 50% PEN and a structured exclusion diet, which led to remission in 70% of children.

**What is the efficacy of PEN for the maintenance of remission of pediatric CD?**

**Statement:**

- PEN is a treatment option to maintain remission in selected patients with mild disease and low risk of relapse (EL 4).

What is the optimal daily amount and recommended duration of PEN for the maintenance of remission of pediatric CD?

**Statement:**

- The optimal daily amount and the duration of PEN that needs to be consumed to be effective are unknown (EL 4).

Long-term enteral nutritional supplementation, in addition to unrestricted normal or specific food diet, such as low-fat diet, may prolong the period of remission and reduce relapse rates in patients with CD. There is limited research evidence relating to maintenance EN as a treatment for pediatric CD patients, with many of the best studies being performed in adults (222). Yamamoto et al (222) performed a systematic review in adult CD, including 10 studies: 1 RCT, 3 prospective nonrandomized trials, and 6 retrospective studies. The clinical remission rate was significantly higher in patients receiving PEN in all 7 studies comparing PEN to non-supplementation. Additionally, in 2 studies, PEN showed reduction in endoscopic disease activity. There are a smaller number of pediatric studies. Wilschanski et al (223) showed retrospectively that providing PEN (nocturnal nasogastric supplements), without restriction of normal diet, after successful treatment with EEN, was associated with prolongation of remission and improved linear growth. Duncan et al (224) demonstrated that a subgroup of patients can successfully continue PEN supplements postinduction of remission with EEN as an effective maintenance treatment and had 1 year remission rates matching thiopurines. PEN therefore seems a useful strategy in a subgroup of patients especially in those who are not commencing azathioprine or similar maintenance treatments. In contrast to the previous studies suggesting the efficacy of PEN, Knight et al (185) reported that the intake of maintenance enteral feeding was not associated with significantly decreased relapse rate.

In adult studies investigating the impact of the quantity of enteral formula on clinical remission, higher amounts of enteral formula (35%–50%) were associated with higher remission rates (225,226). In children, the proportion of PEN varies between studies. It is clear that further studies are needed to find appropriate amount, duration, timing of PEN (185).

### PROBIOTICS AND PREBIOTICS IN INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

**What is the clinical efficacy and safety of probiotics, when compared to no treatment, placebo, pharmacological treatment, or alternative nonpharmacological treatment in the induction and maintenance of remission of pediatric UC and CD?**

**Statements:**

- There is limited evidence in favor of using VSL#3 or *Lactobacillus reuteri* ATCC 55730 as adjuvant to standard therapy for induction of remission in mild-to-moderate pediatric UC (EL 2).
- There is evidence in favor of using VSL#3 or *Escherichia coli* Nissle as an alternative to 5-ASA therapy in maintenance of remission in mild-to-moderate pediatric UC especially in mesalazine (5-ASA) intolerance (EL 2).
- VSL#3 has shown efficacy for maintaining antibiotic-induced remission in pouchitis and for preventing it in adults (adult EL 2; pediatric EL 5).

**Practice points:**

- A long- or short-term PEN course, in addition to unrestricted normal or specific food diet may be offered in order to prolong the period of remission in patients who are on no other maintenance treatment for CD.
We do not recommend the use of probiotics in the induction or in the maintenance of remission of pediatric CD (EL 2).

**Practice points:**
- Probiotics should be used with caution in patients with central venous catheter or in immunocompromised patients.
- Results from clinical trials are strain-specific and should not be extrapolated to other bacterial strains.

High-quality studies on the effect of probiotics in pediatric IBD are limited, with only 3 RCTs 2 in UC (227,228) and 1 in CD (229). Some extrapolations can be made from adult data (230–241), but these have limited applicability in the pediatric population.

**Ulcerative Colitis**

Olivet et al (228) showed an effect on decrease of both endoscopic (Mayo score) and histological score during 8 weeks of follow-up in group of pediatric patients treated by rectal enema containing *L. reuteri ATCC 55730* in addition to 5-ASA treatment, but not in placebo arm in mild-to-moderate active distal pediatric UC. Miele et al (227) has shown *VSL#3* to be superior to placebo in both induction and maintenance of remission (1-year follow-up) when added to standard treatment in pediatric newly diagnosed UC.

An open-label study of *VSL#3* in induction of remission in children with mild to moderate active UC (242) and another small study on *E. coli Nissle* showing efficacy comparable to 5-ASA in maintenance of remission in pediatric UC (not an RCT) (243) were excluded.

In adults, neither ECCO (231) nor Cochrane review (234) recommend probiotics for induction of remission of mild-to-moderately active UC, despite some studies showing some effect of *VSL#3* (227,244–247). There are no relevant data on probiotics in treatment of acute severe colitis (248).

Based on several RCTs and in accordance with systematic reviews (235,249), ECCO recommend *E. coli Nissle* as an effective alternative to 5-ASA for maintenance therapy in adult UC (231).

Current pediatric UC guidelines (250) conclude in accordance with the Cochrane review (236) that there is insufficient evidence to recommend routine use of probiotics for induction or maintenance of remission in pediatric ambulatory UC patients. Some probiotics (*VSL#3, E. coli Nissle*) may, however, be considered in children with mild UC intolerant to 5-ASA, or as an adjuvant therapy in those with mild residual activity despite standard therapy. Therapy with *VSL#3* has also shown efficacy for maintaining antibiotic-induced remission and for preventing pouchitis in adults (237,239,240). Recently, the probiotic mixture *VSL#3* has changed manufacturer and although it contains the same bacteria, its efficacy under the new manufacturing conditions has not yet been scientifically tested in IBD clinical trials.

**Crohn’s Disease**

Only 1 relevant pediatric study was identified showing that *Lactobacillus GG* is not superior to placebo in addition to standard maintenance therapy in pediatric CD (229). Moreover, a meta-analysis has even concluded that *Lactobacillus GG* may increase incidence of relapse in children (251). Also, *Saccharomyces boulardii* has not shown any efficacy in preventing or relapse in CD adult patients in remission of disease (252). Current pediatric CD guidelines (45) as well as adult ECCO guidelines (232) and Cochrane review (238) are in accordance that there is no significant benefit of probiotics for reducing the risk of relapse compared to standard maintenance therapy.

**Statement:**
- There is no evidence to the use of prebiotics and/or synbiotics in the induction and in the maintenance of remission of pediatric UC (adult: EL 2; pediatric: EL 5) and CD (adult: EL 2; pediatric: EL 5).

What is the clinical efficacy and safety of prebiotics, when compared to no treatment, placebo, pharmacological treatment, or alternative nonpharmacological treatment in the induction and maintenance of remission of UC and CD?

There are few data on the effect of prebiotics and synbiotics in pediatric IBD, with no published clinical trial. The majority of data in adults are limited by the small sample size, short duration and high dropout rate (253–260). A systematic review of RCT published in 2015, based on major limitations of the studies, including different agents used, sample size, and methodological issues, concluded that there is inconclusive evidence of a beneficial role of probiotics and synbiotics in IBD (261).

**Statement:**
- Based on adult studies, fiber supplement intervention may be effective in the management of UC and pouchitis (adult: EL 2; pediatric: EL 5).
- There is no evidence to support high-fiber or low-fiber diet in CD (adult: EL 2; pediatric: EL 5).

**Practice points:**
- A possible effect of fiber supplementation associated with standard therapy has been reported in maintenance of remission (psyllium fiber), in active UC (germinated barley fiber), and in maintenance of remission in pouchitis (inulin-enriched oral supplement).
- Fiber restriction should not be recommended in patients with IBD without evidence of stricturing phenotype.

The scientific rationale of using dietary fiber in IBD relates to the production of metabolites (ie, SCFAs, particularly butyrate) and a beneficial influence on GI functions (262). Although no specific pediatric study has been performed, several trials in adults have evaluated the effectiveness and mechanisms of action of fiber in IBD. Based on the published RCT in adults and on 3 systematic reviews, there is limited evidence for the effectiveness of fiber supplementation in active UC and in maintenance of remission in UC and pouchitis (253,254,263–274). Data in active UC are conflicting,
with few studies reporting a possible effectiveness of fiber supple-
mentation and others no effect on disease outcomes (8,10,14).
Dietary intervention studies did not show any significant
benefit of high versus low-fiber diets in CD (263,264,269–271).
Based on the current evidences, no dietary fiber restriction should
be recommended to patients with IBD without evidence of strictur-
ing phenotype, although monitoring of potential fiber intolerance
intake should be performed (262).

Specific Dietary Restrictions for Pediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease

Statement:
- Elimination or restrictive diet in children/adolescents with IBD should not be recommended unless potential benefits outweigh potential risks of the diet (EL 4).

Several diets restricting 1 or more foods from the diet have been
advocated for pediatric and adult patients with IBD to improve
symptoms and/or inflammation (273,275–278). In general, restrict-
tive diets may influence nutritional status, psychological and QoL.
Nutritional restriction may result in unbalanced food intake with
deficiency of certain macro- and micronutrients, which may have
negative short- and long-term effect, particularly on the growing
and developing child. Although adults can decide on a specific diet
for themselves children depend on the decision and support by their
caregivers. Diets should only be considered if they have proven
benefit in reducing inflammation, symptoms or both. A list of
reported diets is provided in Table 2.

Specific Carbohydrate Diet

Statement:
- A specific carbohydrate diet (SCD) for induction or maintenance of remission in pediatric IBD patients should not be recommended (EL 4).

The SCD restricts all carbohydrates (starch, polysaccharides,
and disaccharides) except monosaccharides (glucose, fructose, and
galactose). The hypothesis behind is that di- and polysaccharides
are poorly absorbed resulting in overgrowth of bacteria and yeast
with increased mucus production, small bowel injury and malab-
sorption. Two retrospective chart reviews of 7 and 26 children with
IBD on a SCD showed improvement of symptoms and anthropo-
metry (279,280). Nine children with CD were prospectively enrolled
in a trial of SCD while continuing their normal medication.
Laboratory values, PCDAI, and videoendoscopy scores improved;
however, there was no control group and total energy given was
higher compared to the normal diet before starting SCD (281).
Before there is more evidence in favor of an SCD, it cannot be
recommended in children with IBD.

Lactose-free Diet

Statement:
- Symptoms of lactose malabsorption (abdominal pain, bloating, and diarrhea) overlap with symp-
toms of active IBD (EL 4).
- A diet with reduced lactose intake with monitoring of symptom improvement may be initiated in children/adolescents with IBD and symptoms sug-
gestive of lactose malabsorption (EL 3).

Practice point:
- IBD patients should be counseled not to avoid dairy product, but to reduce high-lactose containing
products and/or to use lactase treated products

Table 2. Exclusion diets and related risks in inflammatory bowel disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exclusion diets</th>
<th>Not allowed foods</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ovo-lacto-vegetarian</td>
<td>Meat, fish</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactose free (reduced)</td>
<td>Animal milk/products high in lactose</td>
<td>None, if dairy products low in lactose are not avoided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegan</td>
<td>All foods from animals</td>
<td>Low vitamin A, B12, D, Zinc, low protein intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleolithic diet</td>
<td>Potatoes, legumes, cereal grain, domesticated meat, all dairy products, juices, soft drinks, refined sugar</td>
<td>Increased fats intake; hypocalcemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spec. carbohydrate diet</td>
<td>Mono-, disaccharides, potatoes, Yams, legumes, canned products, cereal grains, milks, sweets, margarine, beer</td>
<td>Reduced caloric intake, B and D Hypovitaminosis, hypocalcemia and hyposideremia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low FODMAP diet</td>
<td>Mono-, di- oligosaccharides, fiber, wheat, rye, many fruits and vegetables, milk</td>
<td>If long-term, reduction of calcium, folate, thiamin, vitamin B6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD exclusion diet (50% polymeric formula)</td>
<td>Dairy products except the allowed formula, margarine, gluten, processed and smoked meat and fish, canned products, yeast, soy, potato or corn flours, soft drinks, fruit juices, alcoholic beverages, coffee, chocolates, cakes, cookies, gums</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG4-based exclusion</td>
<td>Individual, mostly dairy, egg, pork, beef</td>
<td>Depending on the excluded foods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Possible nutritional risks in children undergoing diets without nutritional advise.
CD = Crohn disease

Practice points:
- SCD is an unbalanced diet due restriction of most carbohydrates leading to high-protein and high-fat intake, which has been associated with risk of CD and UC.
- More evidence on the benefit of SCD from RCTs is needed before such a dietary restriction can be recommended to pediatric IBD patients.
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More evidence on the benefit and safety of CDED

The population proportion of people who are homozygous for the primary or adult onset of lactase deficiency varies from <10% in white Northern Europeans to >80 to 90% in regions of Asia and Africa. Several studies in different populations showed that the primary genetic form of lactase intolerance occurs in the similar frequencies as in the respective control population with the same ethnic background (healthy controls and non-IBD relatives). Secondary lactase intolerance with lactase deficiency is due to multiple causes resulting in damage of the microvilli of the intestinal mucosa (eg, inflammation, ulceration, bacterial overgrowth) or resection of the intestinal surface (resection). A few recent studies in children (283,284) have shown that IBD patients, particularly with small bowel CD, are more frequently lactose intolerant compared to healthy controls, when assessed by breath testing, symptoms and measurement of brush border enzyme activity. Risk of vitamin D deficiency and low Ca intake with negative impact on bone health has to be taken into account.

**Diet Low in Fermentable Oligo-, Di- and Monosaccharides and Polyol (FODMAPs)**

**Statement:**
- A low FODMAPs diet should not be recommended for induction to remission in children/adolescent with IBD (EL 5).

**Practice points:**
- A strict low FODMAP diet is highly restrictive.
- It may improve IBS symptoms for patients without evidence of inflammation. It may, however, decrease diversity and induce dysbiosis.
- FODMAP diet may be responsible for nutrient deficiencies (Ca, Folate, Thiamin, vitamin B6) and cannot be maintained for a long period. Dietary counseling is strongly advised.

Mono, di-, and polysaccharides and polyols are poorly absorbed resulting in increased intestinal permeability and increased functional symptoms (abdominal pain, diarrhea, and bloating) in patients with IBD (285). A few open nonblinded pilot studies improved functional GI symptoms in adult IBD patients (286,287). No pediatric data are available. The diet is low in fiber serving as prebiotics, with potential negative effects on the microbiome and metabolome (288) and a risk of nutrient deficiencies.

**Crohn’s Disease Exclusion Diet**

**Statement:**
- A Crohn’s Disease Exclusion Diet (CDED) cannot be recommended as induction therapy due to insufficient evidence (EL 4).

The diet is based on exclusion of multiple dietary components (289) and was evaluated for induction of remission in 34 children and 13 adults with mild-to-moderate CD. The investigators used the diet in conjunction with 50% of caloric intake from 1 of 2 polymeric formulas. Remission by week 6 was achieved in 70% of patient, with significant drop in C-reactive protein (CRP) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate at both week 6 and week 12, with normalization of CRP in 70% of those entering remission (221). Fifteen patients in remission at week 6, continued dietary restriction and performed a follow-up evaluation for mucosal healing, 11 of 15 achieved complete mucosal healing. This diet is currently being evaluated in a multinational multicenter randomized controlled trial.

**Other Restrictive Diets**

Several other diets have been suggested for treatment of active CD or UC including the paleolithic diet, a vegan diet, a gluten-free diet, a diet based on IgG4 testing against foods with excluding foods with high titers (277). In the latter diet the most common foods excluded were milk, beef, pork, and egg. The authors reported an improvement in the symptom score compared to a control group on a sham elimination diet; however, there was no significant improvement in fecal calprotectin or CRP (290). None of these diets should be recommended to children and adolescents with IBD at present.

**Dietary Compounds and the Risk of Inflammatory Bowel Disease**

**Definition**
Dietary compounds with proven effect on the intestinal barrier function directly or indirectly via the modification of the intestinal microbiota potentially implicated in triggering inflammatory or immune-mediated responses leading to IBD.

**Practice points:**
- Avoidance of “westernized” food (high-fat, high-protein, high-sugar, low in fruit and vegetables) identified in epidemiological studies as risk factor for the development of IBD may be considered.
- Avoidance of high-fat diet (including saturated milk fats) in patients with IBD may be considered.
- Avoidance of food or beverages containing large amounts of emulsifiers (ie, sauces, fast foods, margarines, ice-creams) may be considered.

The complex interaction of diet with the host’s immune system (directly or indirectly via intestinal microbiota) is a key part in the development of chronic inflammation, the hallmark of IBD. When considering IBD and diet, there are 2 different aspects: to identify pre-illness dietary patterns/habits that confer a risk to develop IBD in susceptible individuals and to identify alimentary factors that impact on the inflammatory state of patients. Epidemiological or cohort data indicating specific dietary elements as risk factors for IBD would be most valuable with further RCTs.
factors for developing IBD are, however, often used as indirect evidence to recommend specific nutritional interventions to treat IBD.

Several epidemiological studies discuss a positive correlation between western life style (western diet with high amounts of unsaturated fatty acids, of proteins, a high sugar loads and low vegetables and fruits intake) and the risk of developing IBD (291,292). A pediatric study confirmed recently a profound imbalance in fat, vegetables, and fruits consumption and the development of CD favoring this hypothesis (293). This is in line with adult data from Japan (294,295) or UK (296) indicating that an increased consumption of transunsaturated fatty acids increases the risk of developing UC. A prospective cohort study from France, however, failed to show any effect of fat or sugar intake, but the same study identified high protein intake (animal and fish proteins) as positively correlated to the risk of developing IBD (both CD or UC) (297), in keeping with the results of Shoda et al (294) who previously identified a weak positive correlation between high animal and fish protein intake and the risk to develop IBD. Increased dietary fiber intake has been associated with a lower risk of developing CD, but not UC (298). Recently, the results from EPIC study confirmed that high consumption of sugar and soft drinks and low consumption of vegetables are associated with adult UC risk (299).

Important experimental data support the hypothesis that food additives, such as emulsifiers or food thickeners (carboxymethyl cellulose [CMC], carrageenan, polysorbate (P)-40 or P-80, xanthan gum) can have detrimental effects on intestinal homeostasis. In vitro and in vivo analyses with CMC or P60 or P80 revealed that these emulsifiers alter the mucosal epithelial barrier directly or via a change of the intestinal microbiota biodiversity. They can modify the mucosa-adherent biofilm and the conditions for adhesion and translocation of mucosal bacteria. Chassaing et al (300) recently confirmed in an experimental model that 2 commonly used emulsifiers CMC and P80 create low-grade inflammation in wild-type and in genetically susceptible animals severe colitis, completing previous experimental work (301,302). It is important to note that western diet (fast food and sweet beverages) is rich in emulsifiers. Roberts et al (303) highlighted recently that they observed a clear correlation between annual emulsifier consumption (in food and beverages) and the incidence of IBD, in line with previous studies (292). In the similar line, high margarine (rich in emulsifying agents and hydrogenated fats) was identified by independent studies as being positively correlated with the development of UC and in some studies with CD (304,305).
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